



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL 19-69

AIRPORT REDUCE VISIBILITY LIGHTING & TAXIWAY UPGRADES

ADDENDUM NO. 1

October 7th, 2019

This addendum forms part of the RFP Documents and shall be read, interpreted, and coordinated with all other parts. The costs of all elements contained herein shall be included in the submission. The following revisions, changes, corrections, additions, and or deletions supersede the information contained in the original Documents to the extent referenced and shall become part thereof.

Addendum Item 1 - Questions and Answers

1. Proponent Question:

Has a topographical survey been completed for all project areas? If not, are proponents expected to provide this as part of the scope or work?

Response:

As is identified under item 5.a of the **Scope of Work** contained in the Terms of Reference, Proponents are to provide all necessary engineering services required to complete a comprehensive detailed design subject to the necessary ACAP funding being secured. Included in these engineering services will be the provision of all required topographic survey.

2. Proponent Question:

Has geotechnical investigations and reporting been completed for all project areas? If not, are proponents expected to provide this as part of the scope or work?

Response:

As is identified under item 5.a of the Scope of Work contained in the Terms of Reference, Proponents are to provide all necessary engineering services required to complete a comprehensive detailed design subject to the necessary ACAP funding being secured. Included in these engineering services will be the provision of all required geotechnical investigations.

3. Proponent Question:

The RFP has asked to provide estimated costs for Phase II and III. Are these costs part of the proposal evaluation?

**CITY OF CAMPBELL RIVER
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL 19-69
AIRPORT REDUCED VISIBILITY LIGHTING & TAXIWAY UPGRADES
ADDENDUM NO. 1**

Response:

The Evaluation Criteria is listed in section 18.0 of the Instructions To Proponents which includes under item 18.5 the weighting applied to the identified evaluation criteria. See item H – Budget of the Terms of Reference for applicable definition, describing what is expected of Proponents in defining their proposed effort.

4. Proponent Question:

Does the City require fulltime inspection for Phase III? If so the costs will largely depend on the final scope of work determined from Phase I and the successful contractor's schedule. Can the City provide an estimated schedule (i.e. Assume 16 weeks construction @ 60hrs/week) so all proponents provide costs based on the same set of assumptions.

Response:

It is expected that Proponents will provide recommended level of inspection as part of their Proposal and that Proponents will provide an estimate of the anticipated construction duration given their past experience on similar projects.

5. Proponent Question:

Are proponents expected to produce as built and closeout documentation including updating the CFS, CAP, etc.?

Response:

It is expected that the successful Proponent will provide complete Record Documentation in full conformance with all applicable codes, regulations, etc.

6. Proponent Question:

Are proponents expected to provide a 1 year warranty inspection?

Response:

Yes.

Addendum Item 2 – Closing Date Revision

Change the RFP closing date from Wednesday October 9th, 2019 and replace with "**Wednesday October 16th, 2019**".

End of Addendum

Clinton Crook, SCMP, CPSM, CRM
Purchasing & Risk Management Officer